

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/816,374 04/01/2004 Christoph Be		Christoph Becke	2001P14043WOUS	9753	
			EXAM	MINER	
JOHN T. WINBURN			HANSEN, JAMES ORVILLE		
100 BOSCH BO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW BERN, NC 28562			3637	- TAL DRIVONDDA	
			DATE MAILED: 04/25/2004	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_	
10/816,374	BECKE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
James O. Hansen	3637		

		James O. Hansen	3037	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence add	iress
THE	REPLY FILED 11 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. 🗵	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment stice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	affidavit, or other evide in compliance with 37 C	nce, which SFR 41.31; or (3)
a)	\square The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to			
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	FILED WITHIN
have unde set fo may	nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exert 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sorth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) ICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amo shortened statutory period for reply r than three months after the mailing	unt of the fee. The approprogrammer of the fee. The appropriate of the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) a
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
	NDMENTS			
3. ⊠	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see w);	NOTE below);	
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
((d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		rejected claims.	
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. 🗌	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separa	ite, timely filed amendm	ent canceling the
7. 🗵			will be entered and an	explanation of
	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6, 9-14, 17 & 18</u> .			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A before an an Abo date of filling	- Nation of Americal will be	-
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affi	davit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9. 🗀	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under a	ppeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
	☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims afte	er entry is below or attac	hed.
	UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	*		
11. [The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	on in condition for allowa	ince because:
	☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).☐ Other:		/	
			James O. Hansen	
		Ch	James O. Hansen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3637	
			ALCOIN, JUJ/	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to the claims present new limitations that would require further searching and consideration. It is noted that while the examiner does view the proposed amendments favorably in view of the applied prior art now of record. The position is taken that the proposed amended claims, if entered, would require further consideration and searching since the claimed invention is a compartment with a divider intended to be used with a door. As such, since the "refrigerator door" is not a positively claimed element of the invention, a broader search would be in order.